Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/589,928	AOKI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Erica E. Cadugan	3726
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Erica E. Cadugan</u> .	(3)	
(2) Stephen Favakeh (Reg. No. 36,798).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 10 August 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: See Continuation Sheet		
Claims discussed: 1-14		
Prior art documents discussed: JP 2002-308422, JP 05-036658		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Examiner's Amendment for agreed upon changes.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa 	ne examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Erica E Cadugan/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3726	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of rejections discussed: Discussed some minor claim informality issues and/or minor issues with respect to 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph. NOTE: in claim 1, changed later occurrences re "processing device" language to "processing apparatus" so as to avoid confusion (particularly re claim 8) re which "processing device" is referred to, i.e., the overall "processing device" modules that include the platform, conveyance means, fluid guide etc., or the tooling portion that is located within such a module, i.e., processing device selected from the scribing device, venting device, etc. Also discussed potential art rejection over JP 2002-308422.